



**SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP**  
**701 FIFTH AVE, SUITE 6300**  
**SEATTLE, WA 98104-7092**

**COPY MAILED**

JAN 12 2005

In re Application of :  
Nobuyuki Itoh et al :  
Application No. 09/801,968 :  
Filed: March 7, 2001 :  
Attorney Docket No. PP-17150.001/201130.409 :

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**  
**ON PETITION**

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed November 12, 2004, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **DISMISSED**.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." No additional fee is required with any renewed petition. Petitioner is advised that this is **not** a final agency action decision.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 in a timely manner to the final Office action mailed October 21, 2003, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on January 22, 2004.

A grantable petition to revive an abandoned application under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply (unless previously filed), which may met by the filing of a continuing application in a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, but must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof in an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)). This petition lacks item (1) above.

As to item(1), petitioner has not submitted an amendment that *prima facie* places the application in condition for allowance. The required reply to the final Office action has to be a Notice of Appeal and the requisite fee, or an RCE. A courtesy copy of the Advisory Action is enclosed.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:


By mail: Mail Stop PETITIONS  
Commissioner for Patents  
Post Office Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: Customer Window located at:  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
220 20<sup>th</sup> Street S  
Customer Window, Mail Stop Petitions  
Crystal Plaza Two Lobby, Room 1B03  
Arlington, VA 22202

By fax: (703) 872-9306  
ATTN: Office of Petitions

An extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum extendable period for reply. See In re Application of S., 8 USPQ2d 1630, 1631 (Comm'r Pats. 1988). Accordingly, since the \$980 extension of time submitted with the petition on November 12, 2004 was subsequent to the maximum extendable period for reply, this fee is unnecessary and will be credited to petitioner's deposit account.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Wan Laymon at (571) 272-3220.

  
Wan Laymon  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions  
Office of the Deputy Commissioner  
for Patent Examination Policy

Enclosure: Advisory Action